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The title peptide, $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, crystallizes with seven independent molecules in the asymmetric unit. All have essentially the same overall conformation, but some flexibility is exhibited by the glycine residue. It appears that the high $Z^{\prime}$ value, observed only three times before for an organic compound, permits formation of shorter hydrogen bonds in one of the two head-to-tail chains involving the N -terminal amino groups and the C-terminal carboxylate groups than found in a hypothetical model structure of glycyl-L-valine with $Z^{\prime}=1$, and that it furthermore alleviates strain associated with an eclipsed orientation of the amino group.

## Comment

Among dipeptides constructed from the 20 natural amino acids, the Gly-Xaa series of crystal structures, where Xaa is any amino acid, is the most complete for a specific N -terminal amino acid, with 13 entries in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, Version 5.27 of November 2005; Allen, 2002). In a student project, we sought to expand this group of structures towards completeness by crystallization of the title compound, Gly-L-Val, (I).

Thin flakes of (I) obtained by slow evaporation were generally of low quality, but a specimen usable for data collection was found after a number of tests. The initial observation of a $44 \AA$ unit-cell axis indicated that this was an unusual dipeptide crystal, a suspicion that was subsequently
verified when structure determination revealed seven independent peptide molecules in the asymmetric unit, labelled $A$ to $G$ (Fig. 1). All seven molecules have essentially the same conformation, but with some torsion angle variations, in particular for rotation about the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ bond $\left(\psi_{1}\right)$ (Table 1 and Fig. 2). It is interesting to note that the main chain conformation of Gly-L-Leu [(II); Pattabhi et al., 1974] can be seen to represent an average of the seven Gly-L-Val conformations (Table 1), and the closely related monoclinic structure of (II) provides some clues as to why (I) has crystallized with $Z^{\prime}=7$.



As seen for (II), the crystal structure of (I) is divided into hydrophobic and hydrophilic layers (Fig. 3), and we first suspected that the side-chain modification going from Leu to Val rendered efficient packing of the side chain difficult with $Z^{\prime}$ limited to 1 . To test this hypothesis, a molecular modelling program (SYBYL; Tripos, 2005) was used to construct a theoretical Gly-L-Val structure with $Z^{\prime}=1$, based on (II) but adapted to the correct space group, $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$. This model showed no unfavourable short contacts or large voids compared with the structure of (I).

Our attention then turned to the hydrogen-bonding pattern, with contacts listed in Table 2. Atoms H1 and H3 are involved in head-to-tail chains within a hydrophilic sheet that also comprises the $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{H} 4 \cdots \mathrm{O} 3$ interactions. Two such antiparallel sheets are interconnected by $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 2 \cdots \mathrm{O} 3$ hydrogen bonds and thus generate a hydrophilic layer (Fig. 3). A peculiarity of (II) is the eclipsed conformation of the amino group, as reflected by the $\mathrm{H} 3-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ torsion angle in Table 1, which is required to minimize the $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distance in the $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 3 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2$ interaction (Table 2). In (I), two different rotational modes are observed for the amino group, one with


The asymmetric unit of (I). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. Atomic numbering is shown for molecule $A$ only. The valyl side chain is shaded differently for molecules $A, B, C, D, E, F$ and $G$.
$\mathrm{H} 3-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2<0^{\circ}$ for molecules $B, C, E$ and $F$, and one with $\mathrm{H} 3-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2>0^{\circ}$ for molecules $A, D$ and $G$. The fully eclipsed conformation is thus avoided and, at the same time, the associated hydrogen bonds are significantly shorter overall than in (II) (while hydrogen bonds involving atoms H1 and H 2 are unchanged).

A further effect of this rearrangement can be seen as a reduction of the structural periodicity along the head-to-tail


Overlap diagram of the seven molecules in the asymmetric unit of (I) after best fit to the average structure (not shown).


Figure 3
(a) The molecular packing and unit cell of (I), viewed along the $a$ axis. Hydrogen bonds are indicated by dashed lines and H atoms not involved in such interactions have been omitted for clarity. Half the molecules are shown in a space-filling representation. The shading is similar to that in Fig. 1. (b) The crystal structure of Gly-L-Leu (Pattabhi et al., 1974), viewed along the $b$ axis. (c) The crystal structure of L-Ala-L-Leu (Görbitz, 1999), viewed along the $c$ axis.
chains from $6.369 \AA$ for (II) ( $a$ axis) to $6.299 \AA$ for (I) ( $c$ axis/7). On the other hand, the shorter $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{H} 4 \cdots \mathrm{O} 3$ hydrogen bond in (I) compared with (II) is most probably attributable mainly to more efficient packing of Val than Leu side chains, indicated by a shorter cell axis [5.5238 (7) Å for (I) and $5.565 \AA$ for (II)], as only molecules of the same kind ( $A \cdots A$, etc.) are involved.

The monclinic $C 2$ structure of L-Ala-L-Leu hemihydrate (Görbitz, 1999) is related to both (I) and (II) (Fig. 3), with essentially the same molecular conformation. Space for the extra methyl side chain at the N -terminus residue is nicely provided by insertion of extra water molecules in the hydrophilic layers. Water thus replaces atom O 3 as the acceptor in the $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 2 \cdots \mathrm{O} 3$ interaction, and at the same time the two hydrogen-bonded sheets in a single layer (see above) switch from antiparallel to parallel orientation to provide carboxylate acceptors for both water H atoms.

There are only three other structures in the CSD with $Z^{\prime}=$ 7. Two of these are peptides, viz. L-Met-L-Ala in space group $P 6_{1}$ (Görbitz, 2003) and Boc-L-Phe-L-Leu-OBzl, a protected dipeptide fragment of enkephalin, in space group $P 2_{1}$ (Antolić et al., 1999). In both cases, the seven molecules exhibit a mixture of conformations, particularly with regard to the side chains, but also with extensive flexibility for the peptide main chains. The third compound, 2-cyano-2-isonitroso- N morpholinylacetamide [(III); Eddings et al., 2004], shares the $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ space group with (I) and, with its $7.3 \times 14.4 \times 54.8 \AA$ unit cell, shows some of the same packing features, but not the division into hydrophobic and hydrophilic layers as seen for (I). In (III), there is a $4: 3$ distribution between two different chair conformations for the six-membered ring.

## Experimental

The title peptide was obtained from Bachem. Crystals in the shape of extremely thin plates were obtained by slow evaporation of an aqueous solution.

Crystal data
$\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
$M_{r}=174.20$
Orthorhombic, $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$
$a=5.5238$ (7) $\AA$
$b=26.581$ (3) $\AA$
$c=44.093$ (5) $\AA$
$c=6474.0(14) \AA^{3}$

## Data collection

Siemens SMART CCD area-
detector diffractometer
$\omega$ scans
35141 measured reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.073$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.172$
$S=1.28$
6579 reflections
765 parameters
H -atom parameters constrained
$Z=28$
$D_{x}=1.251 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
$\mu=0.10 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=105$ (2) K
Plate, colourless
$0.75 \times 0.25 \times 0.01 \mathrm{~mm}$

6579 independent reflections 4122 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.125$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=25.0^{\circ}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=1 /[ \sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.048 P)^{2} \\
&+2.98 P] \\
& \text { where } P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
&(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.009 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.34 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.35 \mathrm{e}^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Extinction correction: SHELXTL
(Bruker, 2000)
Extinction coefficient: 0.00086 (13)

## organic compounds

Table 1
Torsion angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for the seven independent peptide molecules in (I), corresponding torsion angles for Gly-L-Leu (Pattabhi et al., 1974), and r.m.s. values ( $\AA$ ) compared with the average structure of (I).

| Torsion angle | $A$ | $B$ | $C$ | $D$ | $E$ | $F$ | $G$ | Mean $\dagger$ | Gly-L-Leu |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 2\left(\psi_{1}\right)$ | $149.7(5)$ | $178.2(5)$ | $-178.9(5)$ | $153.4(5)$ | $162.1(5)$ | $-173.3(5)$ | $164.2(5)$ | $168(14)$ | 171.6 |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 3\left(\omega_{1}\right)$ | $170.5(5)$ | $173.1(5)$ | $166.0(5)$ | $168.1(4)$ | $171.4(5)$ | $171.8(5)$ | $164.2(5)$ | $169(3)$ | 168.7 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 7\left(\varphi_{2}\right)$ | $-63.3(6)$ | $-71.1(6)$ | $-64.6(6)$ | $-61.8(6)$ | $-64.6(6)$ | $-68.5(6)$ | $-60.6(6)$ | $-65(4)$ | -64.9 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{O} 2\left(\psi_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ | $-30.5(7)$ | $-26.3(6)$ | $-31.3(6)$ | $-35.8(6)$ | $-27.5(6)$ | $-29.3(6)$ | $-35.9(6)$ | $-31(4)$ | -30.2 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5\left(\chi_{2}, 1\right.$, | $-61.3(6)$ | $-58.9(6)$ | $-58.9(6)$ | $-60.6(5)$ | $-61.7(5)$ | $-57.6(6)$ | $-60.0(5)$ | $-60(2)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 6\left(\chi_{2}{ }^{1,2}\right)$ | $174.2(5)$ | $176.9(5)$ | $177.0(5)$ | $175.8(4)$ | $173.8(4)$ | $178.5(5)$ | $175.7(4)$ | $176(2)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{H} 3-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 10.2 | -14.1 | -8.0 | 8.7 | -4.0 | -13.7 | 9.5 | $-2(11)$ | -1.9 |
| r.m.s. | 0.105 | 0.060 | 0.106 | 0.085 | 0.049 | 0.099 | 0.091 | $0.032 \ddagger$ |  |

$\dagger$ Sample standard deviation in parentheses. $\ddagger$ Calculated for main-chain atoms and $\mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{\beta}$.

Table 2
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\AA,{ }^{\circ}$ ) for (I) and for corresponding interactions in the crystal structure of Gly-L-Leu (Pattabhi et al., 1974); covalent N-H distances were set to $0.91 \AA$ for amino groups and $0.88 \AA$ for peptide bond amide groups.

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | Molecules $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean | Gly-L-Leu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 3$ | $A \cdots B^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $B \cdots C^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $C \cdots D^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $D \cdots E^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $E \cdots F^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $F \cdots G^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $G \cdots A^{\mathrm{ii}}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ | 1.83 | 1.92 | 1.91 | 1.82 | 1.89 | 1.91 | 1.85 | 1.87 | 1.85 |
| $\mathrm{~N} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ | $2.727(6)$ | $2.788(6)$ | $2.797(6)$ | $2.719(6)$ | $2.768(7)$ | $2.802(6)$ | $2.751(7)$ | 2.765 | 2.750 |
| $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ | 171 | 159 | 165 | 169 | 162 | 166 | 172 | 166 | 170 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{H} 2 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2$ | $A \cdots G^{\mathrm{iii}}$ | $B \cdots F^{\mathrm{iii}}$ | $C \cdots E^{\mathrm{iii}}$ | $D \cdots D^{\mathrm{iii}}$ | $E \cdots C^{\mathrm{iii}}$ | $F \cdots B^{\mathrm{iii}}$ | $G \cdots A^{\mathrm{iii}}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ | 1.75 | 1.82 | 1.84 | 1.77 | 1.78 | 1.83 | 1.81 | 1.80 | 1.80 |
| $\mathrm{~N} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ | $2.652(6)$ | $2.707(7)$ | $2.741(6)$ | $2.676(6)$ | $2.673(6)$ | $2.740(6)$ | $2.714(7)$ | 2.700 | 2.704 |
| $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ | 171 | 166 | 172 | 173 | 165 | 177 | 173 | 171 | 174 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{H} 3 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2$ | $A \cdots B$ | $B \cdots C$ | $C \cdots D$ | $D \cdots E$ | $E \cdots F$ | $F \cdots G$ | $G \cdots A^{\text {iv }}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ | 2.02 | 1.98 | 1.99 | 2.03 | 2.00 | 1.97 | 2.03 | 2.00 | 2.05 |
| $\mathrm{~N} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ | $2.840(6)$ | $2.804(7)$ | $2.779(6)$ | $2.845(6)$ | $2.838(7)$ | $2.775(6)$ | $2.830(7)$ | 2.816 | 2.856 |
| $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ | 149 | 151 | 145 | 148 | 152 | 147 | 146 | 148 | 147 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2-\mathrm{H} 4 \cdots \mathrm{O} 3$ | $A \cdots A^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $B \cdots B^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $C \cdots C^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $D \cdots D^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $E \cdots E^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $F \cdots F^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $G \cdots G^{\mathrm{i}}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ | 2.07 | 2.07 | 2.13 | 2.09 | 2.08 | 2.08 | 2.11 | 2.09 | 2.15 |
| $\mathrm{~N} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ | $2.820(6)$ | $2.823(6)$ | $2.862(6)$ | $2.828(6)$ | $2.811(6)$ | $2.841(6)$ | $2.832(6)$ | 2.831 | 2.870 |
| $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ | 143 | 144 | 140 | 141 | 140 | 143 | 139 | 142 | 139 |

$\dagger$ Donor molecule-acceptor molecule; for designators see Fig. 1. Symmetry codes: (i) $x-1, y, z$; (ii) $x-1, y, z+1$; (iii) $x-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}-y, 1-z$; (iv) $x, y, z+1$.

H atoms were positioned with idealized geometry, with amide H atoms in the peptide plane and fixed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ distances of $0.98-1.00$ and $0.88-0.91 \AA$, respectively. Rigid rotation was permitted for amino groups only. $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})$ values were $1.2 U_{\text {eq }}$ of the carrier atom, or $1.5 U_{\text {eq }}$ (parent) for amino and methyl groups. In the absence of significant anomalous scattering effects, 4887 Friedel pairs were merged. The absolute configuration was known for the purchased material.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Bruker, 2000); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

The purchase of the Siemens SMART CCD diffractometer was made possible through support from the Research Council of Norway (NFR)

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: JZ3047). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.
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